Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies (SGOJAHDS), Vol.9 No.1 March, 2026; pg. 247 – 256; ISSN: 2695-2319 (Print); ISSN: 2695-2327 (Online)

BEYOND WESTERN PARADIGMS: INDIGENOUS AFRICAN APPROACHES TO CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND SOCIAL COHESION

AKANNI WALIYU OLADOTUN [Ph.D],
Department of Social Justice and Security Studies Kwara State University, Malete, Kwara State

Abstract

This study examines indigenous African conflict resolution mechanisms with a view to highlighting their philosophical foundations, procedural dynamics, and contemporary relevance. Drawing on qualitative and doctrinal analysis of existing literature, the paper argues that traditional African societies developed robust, culturally embedded systems for managing disputes long before colonial intervention. These systems—ranging from mediation, adjudication, negotiation, diplomacy, conciliation, to consensus-building—are deeply rooted in communal values, spirituality, and restorative justice principles. The study demonstrates that indigenous mechanisms prioritize reconciliation, social harmony, and long-term relationship preservation over adversarial outcomes of retributive justice. The paper further explores specific examples across African societies, including Yoruba, Hausa, and Igbo traditions in Nigeria, illustrating how oral traditions such as proverbs, folktales, wise sayings and rituals serve as instruments of peacebuilding and social control. Despite their effectiveness, the study identifies critical limitations, including a lack of formal legal recognition, gender exclusion, generational decline in interest, and procedural informality. The findings suggest that while modern conflict resolution frameworks in Africa often rely heavily on external models, indigenous systems offer contextually relevant, cost-effective, and sustainable alternatives. The paper concludes that integrating traditional mechanisms with formal legal systems could enhance peacebuilding efforts across the continent. It recommends policy reforms, institutional recognition, and inclusive restructuring to strengthen the applicability of indigenous conflict resolution systems in contemporary Africa.

Keywords: Western Paradigms, Traditional Mechanism, Indigenous System, Conflict Resolution, Social Cohesion.

Introduction

The African continent, just like in every society, is afflicted with different kinds of conflicts, and the solutions proffered towards resolving these conflicts are as wide-ranging as the affected communities themselves (Olowu, 2018). According to Mengesha (2016), before the invasion of colonial masters, which disrupted its traditional system, Africa had been endowed with a unique civilization and philosophical systems of social organization The continent has a long history of successful traditional judicial arbitration systems and distinctive approaches to managing conflicts across diverse cultures (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017; Ogele, 2020). Dispute resolution, as stated by Hassan (2020) and Ogele (2020), is universally practised in accordance with the local laws and customs of societies, as each society develops its own culturally embedded mechanisms for resolving disputes. These mechanisms are often expressed through indigenous knowledge systems such as proverbs, folktales, and wise sayings, which

embody the philosophical and normative frameworks guiding conflict management (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017).

Ben-Mensah (2004) has evidently proven that conflict is indispensable in indigenous African communities, as it is applicable in every human society. Conflict situations could be triggered by indebtedness, contract breaches, inheritance, land boundaries, adultery, allegations of bewitchment, matrimonial problems, and succession disputes, among others. Less serious issues are often settled within the family, while more complex disputes are resolved through recourse to supernatural beliefs, including the invocation of ancestral or spiritual authority (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017). In situations where evidence presented before mediators is contradictory or inconclusive, Ben-Mensah (2004) argues that mechanisms such as trial by ordeal may be employed to determine truth and justice. Thus, reliance on mystical or spiritual means of dispute resolution has remained a longstanding feature of pre-colonial African societies.

Malan (1997) affirms that African indigenous systems extend beyond resolving conflicts and restoring relationships, as they also interrogate the socio-cultural and historical roots of disputes to prevent recurrence. The overarching objective is to promote mutual understanding and collective benefit rather than escalate tensions or inflict harm. Consequently, conflict resolution processes are structured to restore peace between disputing parties, reconcile differences, and sustain cordial relationships (Ben-Mensah, 2004). These processes further emphasize fairness, equity, and justice, which are deeply embedded in African customs and traditions (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017; Jacob et al., 2019).

Ndlovu and Svodziwa (2017) maintain that Traditional African societies emphasized social harmony as a means of fostering constructive change and preserving relationships across all spheres of life. Various indigenous institutions, such as *ukuzidla* in South Africa, *mato oput* in Northern Uganda, *omoluwabi* among the Yoruba in Nigeria, *tiru sina* in Ethiopia, *gacaca* courts in Rwanda, and *kotgla* in Botswana, exemplify culturally embedded systems designed to promote peace and social cohesion. In societies with centralized authority structures, formally constituted traditional courts existed for dispute resolution, presided over by figures such as chiefs, kings, and community leaders, including the Oba, Eze, Emir, and others (Jacob et al., 2019).

Ogele (2020) observes that African societies operate relatively inexpensive traditional conflict resolution systems supported by strong institutions capable of delivering timely and satisfactory justice to disputing parties. Although some disputes may initially produce win–lose outcomes, indigenous mechanisms are often designed to transform such situations into win–win resolutions that preserve relationships through compromise and mutual understanding (Makwudo & Obijekwu, 2020). Generally, the methods employed in traditional African conflict resolution include mediation, adjudication, conciliation, negotiation, diplomacy, and other culturally embedded practices (Ben-Mensah, 2004; Ajayi & Buhari, 2014).

Ademowo and Nuhu (2017) view Africa as a continent characterized by vast ethnic and linguistic diversity, with over 1,000 ethnic groups and languages. Despite this diversity, there exists a shared cultural heritage that binds communities together through common social

institutions, values, and practices. These cultural systems are deeply rooted in traditions and indigenous knowledge, which play a central role in conflict management and social cohesion (Makwudo & Obijekwu, 2020). Consequently, Familugba and Adedayo (2020) explain thatcultural frameworks serve as vital mechanisms for promoting peace, equitable resource distribution, and sustainable development within communities. Such a rich cultural heritage underscores a community-centric approach of building harmony towards collective responsibility for common benefit, and it cuts across several African countries. Some of which are kparakpor (Yoruba-Nigeria), Ubuntu (Zulu-South Africa), Ujamaa (Swahili-Tanzania) (Ani, 2017), Adzo (Beti-Cameroon), Cofono (Toubou-Chad), House of Palaver (Kpelle-Liberia, and several countries too(Agbu & Bolarinwa, 2006, as cited in Ademowo, 2015)

The post-independence era witnessed a general reluctance among many African states to revive indigenous institutions that had been weakened or displaced during colonial rule (Ani, 2017). Scholarly narratives and media representations have often emphasized the perceived limitations of African traditional systems, thereby contributing to a decline in confidence among Africans in their indigenous knowledge frameworks. This persistent dependence on external models for resolving African problems reflects a broader crisis of epistemic trust in homegrown solutions (Ani, 2017). However, Ndlovu and Svodziwa (2017) claim that the persistence of protracted conflicts and the limited success of externally driven peace interventions have reignited interest in indigenous mechanisms as viable alternatives for sustainable peacebuilding. Indeed, evidence suggests that indigenous institutions possess the capacity to provide durable conflict resolution outcomes while strengthening social cohesion within communities (Mengesha, 2016).

In recent times, traditional methods of conflict resolution have attracted renewed scholarly and policy attention as viable mechanisms for addressing various levels of conflict and strengthening social cohesion (Ndlovu & Svodziwa, 2017; Olowu, 2018). This renewed interest, according to Ndlovu and Svodziwa (2017), is largely driven by the recognition that the enormous financial and material resources expended on conventional peacekeeping and conflict management strategies have yielded limited success, with many conflicts persisting or recurring (Ndlovu & Svodziwa, 2017). Accordingly, Ani (2017) asserts that there is a growing consensus that African-oriented, indigenous approaches can provide more sustainable and context-sensitive solutions to the continent's challenges, complementing or even outperforming externally imposed interventions.

In light of the foregoing, it becomes evident that indigenous African conflict resolution mechanisms are not only historically significant but also functionally relevant in addressing contemporary conflicts. Despite the disruption caused by colonialism and the subsequent dominance of Western legal frameworks, these traditional systems have demonstrated resilience and adaptability across diverse African societies. However, their marginalization in modern governance structures raises critical questions about sustainability, legitimacy, and integration.

Therefore, this study interrogates the structures, processes, and philosophical underpinnings of indigenous conflict resolution systems in Africa, with particular attention to their strengths and inherent limitations. It further explores the extent to which these mechanisms can be revitalized and incorporated into formal peacebuilding frameworks. By doing so, the paper

contributes to ongoing scholarly discourse on endogenous approaches to conflict management and the search for sustainable peace in Africa.

Theoretical Framework

This paper is anchored in **Afrocentricity and Social Harmony Theory**. Afrocentricity serves as the meta-theoretical lens, rejecting Eurocentric positivism that often pathologizes African systems. Instead, it centres African agency, philosophy (*omoluabi*, *ubuntu*), and cultural heritage as valid, autonomous frameworks for understanding and resolving conflict. Complementarily, Social Harmony Theory posits that traditional African conflict resolution prioritizes the restoration of communal equilibrium over individual vindication. Unlike Western adversarial models that produce "win-lose" outcomes, indigenous mechanisms aim for "win-win" transformations, emphasizing *consensus, reconciliation,* and *relational preservation.* This framework allows the analysis to evaluate traditional systems on their own terms—assessing success not by punitive deterrence but by the durability of social cohesion and the restoration of the *relationship* between disputing parties.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, non-empirical research design grounded in doctrinal and descriptive approaches. Data were sourced primarily from secondary materials, including academic journals, books, policy reports, and documented case studies on indigenous conflict resolution systems across Africa. The research synthesizes findings from diverse African contexts—South Africa, Uganda, Ethiopia, Ghana, Rwanda, Burundi, Botswana, Zimbabwe, and Nigeria—to build a comparative understanding of indigenous conflict resolution.

The research employs:

- **Doctrinal analysis** to examine existing literature and theoretical arguments on traditional conflict resolution.
- **Comparative analysis** to highlight similarities and variations across different African societies.
- **Descriptive method** to systematically explain the processes, institutions, and cultural elements embedded in indigenous practices.

This methodological approach is appropriate given the conceptual and exploratory nature of the study, which seeks to synthesize existing knowledge rather than generate primary empirical data.

Indigenous African Mechanisms for Non-Violent Conflict Transformation

Traditional methods of resolving conflicts have proven to be effective in addressing myriad of disputes bedevilling African societies,from family disputes, land and boundary disputes to inter-ethnic and inter-religious conflicts. (Familugba & Adebayo, 2020). Some of the indigenous conflict-handling styles that are predominant in Africa are listed below

Mediation

Mediation in traditional African settings is regarded as the most widely utilized method of conflict resolution, involving the intervention of a neutral third party to facilitate dialogue between disputing parties (Ben-Mensah, 2004). Ajayi and Buhari (2014) opine that mediators are typically drawn from respected members of the community, such as elders, priests, or individuals known for their wisdom, integrity, and experience. Familugba and Adedayo

(2020) point out that these individuals command significant respect and authority, which enables them to persuade disputants to participate in the mediation process and comply with its outcomes. Their neutrality, patience, and diplomatic skills ensure that resolutions are mutually acceptable rather than imposed, thereby promoting lasting peace and social harmony (Familugba & Adedayo, 2020).

Adjudication

Adjudication represents a more formal and structured approach to conflict resolution in traditional African societies, involving procedures such as the presentation of evidence, witness testimonies, and cross-examination (Ben-Mensah, 2004). Compared to mediation, adjudication is often less preferred because it is more time-consuming, resource-intensive, and may produce win–lose outcomes that could undermine post-conflict reconciliation. Nonetheless, it is typically employed in cases where mediation or arbitration fails, or where the nature of the dispute requires authoritative judgment (Ben-Mensah, 2004).

Negotiation

Brock-Utne (2001) acknowledges that Negotiation in African traditional contexts is primarily conducted through direct interaction between disputants, often within family, community, or neighbourhood settings. It is valued for its simplicity, flexibility, and participatory nature, which allow parties to openly discuss their grievances and work towards mutually acceptable solutions. Through negotiation, compromises are reached without assigning blame, thereby fostering cooperation and preventing escalation. Where negotiation fails, more formal mechanisms such as mediation may be introduced to facilitate resolution (Brock-Utne, 2001).

Diplomacy

Diplomacy is employed in traditional African societies to manage and maintain peaceful relationships between communities, ethnic groups, or political entities. It is typically conducted by appointed representatives such as chiefs, elders, or emissaries who act on behalf of their communities (Olowu, 2018). These actors engage in dialogue, negotiation, and strategic communication aimed at preventing conflicts or de-escalating tensions. When diplomatic efforts fail, disputes may escalate into open conflict, underscoring the importance of diplomacy as a preventive mechanism.

Conciliation

Familugba and Adedayo (2020 emphasize that conciliation involves the intervention of a third party who engages with disputants both separately and jointly to facilitate understanding and propose solutions. Unlike mediation, conciliation often entails a more in-depth investigation into the issues at stake, including uncovering hidden facts and perspectives. The conciliator may organize formal hearings and subsequently present recommendations, although such decisions are not binding on the parties involved. The emphasis remains on achieving consensus and avoiding adversarial outcomes that could exacerbate tensions (Familugba & Adedayo, 2020).

Consensus

Consensus-building, as stated by Brock-Utne (2001), is a fundamental aspect of traditional African conflict resolution, emphasizing collective agreement and shared ownership of decisions. It is a confidence-building process that ensures that all parties involved accept the

outcome as legitimate and binding within the cultural context. Once consensus is achieved, it is often formalized through rituals, ceremonies, or symbolic acts such as handshakes or communal celebrations, which reinforce social cohesion and the durability of the resolution (Brock-Utne, 2001).

Nigeria's Indigenous Dispute Resolution Frameworks

Among the Yoruba of Southwestern Nigeria, as in many African societies, customs and traditions form the foundation upon which indigenous laws and conflict resolution practices are established. Historically, these laws were not formally documented but preserved through oral traditions, performances, and cultural expressions that facilitated understanding and continuity across generations (Familugba & Adedayo, 2020). Albert et al (1995) posit that conflict resolution—whether within the household, neighbourhood, village, or urban setting—remains a core responsibility of traditional political institutions in Yorubaland.

Albert et al (1915) clarify that at the family level, the Baale or Oloriebi (head of the household) serves as the first point of contact in resolving disputes among family members, including conflicts involving spouses, children, and extended relatives. The authority vested in the *Baale* ensures that decisions reached are respected and adhered to by members of the household. This authority may also extend to mediating disputes between different families. Guided by the philosophy of *Omoluwabi*—which emphasizes good character, moral integrity, and peaceful coexistence—the *Baale* prioritizes reconciliation and harmony over blame attribution, even when members of his household are aggrieved (Albert et al., 1995).

Conflicts that exceed the capacity of the Baale are escalated to higher traditional authorities such as the *Mogaji* or ward head, who intervene to prevent further escalation. More complex or serious disputes are referred to the *Igbimo Ilu* (Council of Chiefs), which represents the highest indigenous adjudicatory body in Yorubaland. In pre-colonial times, this institution wielded significant authority, including the power to impose severe sanctions where necessary (Familugba & Adedayo, 2020). At the apex of this structure is the court of the king, which functions as the supreme authority in traditional conflict resolution. Sanctions and compensations awarded in the resolution process often carry symbolic and cultural significance. Items such as kola nuts or local gin may be used not only as penalties but also as ritual elements that signify reconciliation and the restoration of social harmony (Familugba & Adedayo, 2020).

Conflicts are also resolved through oral expressions in Nigeria using proverbs, folktales, wise sayings, idioms, songs and so forth. Conflict handling styles across several cultures in Nigeria are embedded in oral forms, which are wrapped in deeply rich African cultures and traditions. Proverbs, for instance are expressed in metaphorical language and act as a repository of native wisdom and knowledge and are very philosophical, which evokes profound intellectual reflection and thinking in the interpretation and analysis of issues. Besides its metaphorical importance, proverbs are also an embodiment of narrations that depict true life experiences and can be interpreted in different ways to suit different circumstances.

To underscore the importance that Yorubas attach to harmonious relationships and peaceful co-existence in a society, Yorubas will say "Ija odola, oruko lonsoni" (being a trouble maker will not make you successful, but cause you shame and dishonour). When encouraging people

to always explore amicable resolution to conflict and refrain from seeking justice in a court of law, the Yoruba will say. "Aki ti ile ejo de ka tun se ore" (A dispute that resorts to litigation will inflict irreparable damage to a relationship that exists between the conflicting parties).

Among Hausas in the Northern part of Nigeria, proverbs are popular peacebuilding tools that check their excesses and correct their wrongdoings because it is considered a demonstration of stark truth based on common sense and social experience of people. Proverbs in Hausaland are called "Karin Magana", and they have historical and significant antecedents of the Hausa culture (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017). Hausas value and appreciate relationships and associations, and in a typical Hausa community, people are noticeably gathered in popular spots known as "*majalisa"*,which literally means assembly ground or hanging out point. Proverbs are copiously utilized in *majalisa* to address and solve diverse issues such as marital problems, religious intolerance and so forth. (Ademowo & Nuhu, 2017). Atuwo (2019) lists some of the Hausa proverbs that emphasize peace as a priceless asset and denounce confrontation or conflict situation as follow:
"Kowa ya kwana lafiya shiya so" (whoever sleeps in peace is his making).
"Zaman lafiya ya fi zama xan sarki (living in peace is better than being a prince)
"Fitina barci take yi Allah ya la'ani mai tashe ta" (conflicts is at rest, God curses he who wakes it up).

In the context of the Igbos, proverbs are so dear to them that it is jealously described as "*mmanụ e ji eri okwu (The palm oil with which words are eaten)"* (Onyeka, 2016). Igbo proverbs, according to Oboko (2020), are targeted at specific situations and circumstances and are succinctly expressed. The rich oral tradition and cultural heritage of the Igbo people are exemplified in the philosophical exposition of their proverbs and are mostly used to educate, encourage, calm situations, dissect narratives, analyze discourse, provide insightful thoughts, leave a lasting impression and so forth. Agbo et al (2019) establish that Igbo proverbs depict creativity and are laced with lots of moral lessons, and these are reflective of the elegance of the Igbo language in the sense that issues can be diplomatically handled without dampening the mood of the parties involved. Isidienu (2021) ascertains that there is hardly any conversation or discussion among Igbos that will not contain traces of philosophical proverbs because their daily endeavours are largely guided by proverb-induced inspirational messages from indigenous customs, knowledge, wisdom, moral and so forth (Igwebuike & Nweze, 2020).That justifies the proverbial statement of the Igbo people which says "nkụ dị na mba na-eghere mba nri (people use what they have to solve their problems)" (Isidienu, 2021) "ọkọ kọwa ḿmadụ,íbe ya akọ ya" (Be your brother‟s keeper), (Nwankwo, 2015)and "onye aghana nwanne ya" (no one should be left behind) (Okafor & Nontasak, 2019). Rooted in the spirit of brotherhood and unity, these proverbs position collective harmony as the prerequisite for progress—a reflection of the 'we-before-I' nature of Igbo social life.

Limitations and Vulnerabilities in Pre-Colonial African Conflict Resolution Systems

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of indigenous judicial mechanisms in resolving disputes rooted in local customs and traditions, these systems are not without limitations. Their relative success in adjudicating culturally grounded conflicts has led to their recognition and incorporation in some African countries, including the Benin Republic, Ethiopia, Lesotho, and South Africa (Ben-Mensah, 2004; Olowu, 2018). Ben-Mensah (2004) asserts that in certain instances, disputes such as land and marital issues brought before formal courts are referred

back to traditional institutions due to the latter's deep understanding of historical context and customary practices. A notable example is Rwanda, where the *gacaca* system was adopted and effectively utilized in addressing the aftermath of the 1994 genocide, demonstrating the practical relevance of indigenous mechanisms in post-conflict reconstruction (Mengesha, 2016).

However, notwithstanding their relevance and growing recognition, traditional conflict resolution systems face several challenges that limit their effectiveness in contemporary contexts. These challenges include procedural informality, lack of codification, insufficient legal and constitutional backing, declining interest among younger generations, and inadequate incentives for custodians of traditional institutions (Yitayew et al., 2020).

One of the most significant criticisms of these systems is the marginalization of women in conflict resolution processes. In many traditional settings, women are either excluded or assigned peripheral roles. For instance, among the Barolong people of South Africa, women's participation in *kgotla* meetings is largely restricted to supportive roles such as preparing food and managing welfare arrangements, while decision-making remains dominated by men (Olowu, 2018). This pattern of gender exclusion is reflective of broader structural inequalities present in many indigenous conflict resolution institutions across Africa.

Conclusion

The indigenous conflict resolution systems of Africa represent a sophisticated, historically resilient, and philosophically coherent body of practice. They effectively manage a spectrum of disputes, from family disagreements to inter-ethnic tensions, by leveraging community trust in elders, ritual processes, and the pedagogical power of oral traditions like proverbs. These systems are not merely alternative but foundational, having successfully addressed crises as monumental as the Rwandan genocide through institutions like *Gacaca*. However, the conclusion is unequivocal: while effective in cultural and relational terms, these systems are not without critical flaws. The most persistent and structural gap is the systemic exclusion of women from decision-making roles, reducing them to service providers rather than peace agents. Additionally, the lack of formal legal recognition, the absence of incentives for custodians, and waning youth interest threaten their intergenerational transmission. Therefore, the potential of indigenous justice remains partially realized; it is a powerful but incomplete tool that cannot be romanticized without acknowledging its patriarchal and procedural limitations.

Recommendations

Based on the analysis, the following recommendations are advanced:

1. **Constitutional and Legal Integration:** African states should formally recognize indigenous conflict resolution systems within national legal frameworks, not as subordinate alternatives but as concurrent, complementary institutions. This should include clear jurisdictional boundaries (e.g., family land, customary marriage) and mechanisms for appellate review to protect fundamental rights.
2. **Mandatory Gender Inclusion:** Traditional governance structures (*kgotla*, *igbimo ilu*, etc.) must be reformed to mandate meaningful participation of women as mediators, adjudicators, and consensus-builders, not merely as welfare organizers. This may require deliberate community-led sensitization on gender equity in peace processes.

3. **Incentivization and Documentation:** Governments and civil society should establish incentive systems (e.g., small stipends, ceremonial recognition, resources for community courts) for elders and custodians of indigenous justice. Concurrently, urgent efforts should be made to document oral traditions, proverbs, and procedural histories to prevent knowledge loss.
4. **Hybrid Peace Education:** Integrate indigenous conflict principles (e.g., *ubuntu*, *omoluabi*) into national educational curricula at primary and secondary levels, taught alongside formal civic education, to foster generational interest and cultural pride in homegrown peace mechanisms.
5. **Addressing "Win-Lose" Risks:** Where adjudication is unavoidable, traditional systems should be strengthened to incorporate post-settlement reconciliation rituals (e.g., shared kola nut, public handshake) to mitigate the relational damage of "winner-losers" outcomes, thereby preserving community harmony.

References

Ademowo, A., (2015). 'Conflict management in traditional African society. Available at https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adeyemi Ademowo/publication/281749510_Conflict_management_in_Traditional_African_Society/links/560138a808aeafc8ac8c852b/Conflict-management-in-Traditional-African-Society.pdf

Ademowo, A. J., & Nuhu, A. A. (2017). Indigenous knowledge and conflict management in Africa: A study of proverb use in conflict management among Hausas of Northern Nigeria. *International Journal of History and Cultural Studies (IJHCS)*, 3(4), 36–44.

Agbo, C. C., Zuber, A., & Soemanto, R. B. (2019). Achieving global peace through the Igbo concept of justice in language and proverbs. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)*, 8(2), 556–563.

Ajayi, A. T., & Buhari, L. O. (2014). Methods of conflict resolution in African traditional society. *An International Multidisciplinary Journal, Ethiopia*, 8(2), 138–157.

Albert, I. O., Awe, T., Hérault, G., & Omitoogun, W. (1995). Traditional channels of conflict resolution in Ibadan. In *Informal channels for conflict resolution in Ibadan, Nigeria*. IFRA-Nigeria. Available at https://doi.org/10.4000/books.ifra.718

Ani, N. C. (2017). Re-empowering indigenous principles for conflict resolution in Africa: Implications for the African Union. *Africology: The Journal of Pan African Studies*, 10(9), 15–35.

Atuwo, A. A. (2019). Relevance of Hausa folklore in conflict resolution. *East African Scholars Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature*, 2(9), 566–572.

Ben-Mensah, F. (2004). Indigenous approaches to conflict resolution in Africa. In World Bank (Ed.), *Indigenous knowledge: Local pathways to global development* (pp. 39–44).

Brock-Utne, B. (2001, February 23–24). *Indigenous conflict resolution in Africa* [Conference presentation]. Weekend Seminar on Indigenous Solutions to Conflicts, University of Oslo, Institute for Educational Research.

Familugba, J. O., & Adedayo, A. M. (2020). Indigenous conflict resolution strategy and sustainable development in Nigeria. *Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies (SGOJAHDS)*, 3(4), 55–66.

Hassan, A. B. (2020). Causes of conflict and the role of indigenous conflict resolution mechanism among Degodia clan: In case of Dollo Addo District, Somali Region, South East Ethiopia. *American Journal of Applied Psychology*, 9(4), 117–123.

Igwebuike, E., & Nweze, I. (2020). Pragmatic acts and functions of proverbs in Igbo novels on conflict. *Journal of Linguistics and Language in Education*, 14(1), 67–88.

Isidienu, I. C. (2021). Use of proverbs as social control measures in Igbo contemporary society: Oyi of Anambra State as example. *Sapientia Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies (SGOJAHDS)*, 4(2), 158–168.

Jacob, U. H., Nwokike, I. K., Amiara, S. A., Uchime, O. Victoria &Okpara, O. P. (2019). An appraisal of traditional conflict resolution strategies in Nigeria: The Amasiri example. *Afro Asian Journal of Social Sciences*, X(I), 1–31.

Makwudo, K. K., & Obijekwu, M. I. (2020). Conflict resolution and African indigenous knowledge: Igbo perspective. *International Journal of Ethics and Values*, 1(2), 58–70.

Malan, J. (1997). *Conflict resolution wisdom from Africa*. ACCORD.

Mengesha, A. D. (2016). The role of Sidama indigenous institutions in conflict resolution: In the case of Dalle Woreda, Southern Ethiopia. *American Journal of Sociological Research*, 6(1), 10–26.

Ndlovu, L., & Svodziwa, M. (2017). The role of indigenous knowledge systems in peace building: A case of Umguza District, Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Politics and Good Governance*, 8(8), 1–16.

Nwankwo, O. A. (2015). Igbo proverbs in the context of early communicative competence and social stability. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 20(9), 54–61.

Oboko, U. G. (2020). Language as a didactic tool and vehicle of cultural preservation: A pragma sociolinguistic study of selected Igbo proverbs. *International Journal of Society, Culture & Language*, 8(2), 121–136.

Ogele, E. P. (2020). Exploring indigenous methods of alternative dispute settlement in Nigeria: A study of the Ikwerre traditional arbitration. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*, 4(4), 185–191.

Okafor, E. N., & Nontasak, T. (2019). Maintaining an Igbo peaceful culture in a disruptive world. In *The 11th National Academic Conference 2019 "Professional management in a disruptive world"* (pp. 692–706).

Olowu, D. (2018). Indigenous approaches to conflict resolution in Africa: A study of the Barolong people of the North-West Province, South Africa. *Journal of Law and Judicial System*, 1(1), 10–16.

Oyeka, C. N. (2016). Demystification and reconstruction of Igbo sexist proverbs. *Abraka Humanistic Review: A Journal of the Faculty of Arts*, 7(1), 143–153.

Yitayew, M., et al. (2020). Indigenous conflict resolution systems and practices: Implications for social relationship development: The case of Debre Markos Town, 2019. *Alq Journal of Medical and Applied Sciences*, 3(1), 53–60.